ABSTRACT

Clostridium difficile (CD) has been a well-recognized cause of antibiotic-associated diarrheal disease since the late 1970’s. A mutation in toxin gene regulation, however, has unleashed a new epidemic of hyper-virulent infection. This new strain of CD, designated B1/NAP1/027, has spread rapidly across North America, Europe, and Asia, and has now supplanted Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus (MRSA) as the leading cause of nosocomial infection in some U.S. hospitals.

This paper highlights the changing epidemiologic and clinical features of this born-again pathogen, and discusses new approaches to the diagnosis, treatment, and prevention of modern era Clostridium difficile infection (CDI).

SCOPE AND IMPACT OF CDI

Toxin-producing strains of CD were first linked to cases of antibiotic-associated diarrhea in 1978. By the year 2000, CDI was known to cause 15-25% of cases of diarrhea associated with the use of antibiotics, particularly in hospital settings. In Quebec in 2003, an outbreak of severe diarrheal disease with high morbidity and mortality due to CD was described. The incidence of CDI there quadrupled, and was associated with an unusually high attributable mortality of 6.9%, compared with a baseline of 1.5%. Outbreaks in the U.S. quickly followed. Subsequent analysis of microbial samples from Quebec as well as from six U.S. east coast states demonstrated the clonal nature of the outbreak strain, subsequently dubbed the North American Pulsed Field type 1 (NAP1) strain of CD. Other designations for this same strain include restriction endonuclease strain B1, and PCR ribotype 027 (or simply B1/NAP1/027).

Since 1996, the incidence of CDI in the U.S. has more than doubled, with an estimated 3 million cases each year. Thus CDI in now possibly the most common identified bacterial cause of acute diarrhea in the U.S. The total estimated cost (in 2005 dollars) of care for patients with CDI exceeds $3.2 billion. In a 2010 prospective cohort study of 28 hospitals in the Duke Infection Control Outreach Network, the rate of nosocomial CDI exceeded the rate of nosocomial MRSA infection for the first time.

MICROBIOLOGY AND GENESIS OF THE EPIDEMIC STRAIN

CD is a very difficult microbe to cultivate (hence the name), and requires specialized media, prolonged incubation time, and considerable expertise. It also requires a high olfactory tolerance, as this organism produces the pungent aromatic amines putrescine and cadaverine, which account for the characteristic odor associated with this organism and this disease. Cultivation of CD is typically carried out only in research facilities. Since the disease is defined by toxin production, cultivation of the organism alone is not sufficient to establish the diagnosis of CDI. Toxins A (enterotoxin) and B (cytotoxin) are the major virulence factors for CDI. Genetic regulation of toxin production resides in the pathogenicity locus, which consists of one gene for each toxin, plus three regulatory genes.

The B1/NAP1/027 strain of CD is characterized by a deletion in the tcdC repressor gene responsible for down-regulation of toxin production. This results in a greater than 10-fold increase in production of both toxins A and B. In addition, this strain also produces a unique binary toxin identical to the iota toxin of Clostridium perfringens. While the exact contribution to pathogenesis of the binary toxin remains to be elucidated, some research suggests that it may act synergistically with toxins A and B to produce the severe colitis characteristic of this endemic strain. The organism is also a hyper-producer of spores, which are resistant to most environmental disinfectants, and for which there is no known effective antimicrobial treatment. Moreover, B1/NAP1/027 CD is characteristically resistant to fluoroquinolones, which may provide an additional survival advantage.

CHANGING EPIDEMIOLOGY

The traditional risk factors associated with CDI infection even prior to the advent of NAP1 are well known, and include prior antibiotic exposure,
hospitalization or residence in an extended care facility, advanced age, and immune suppression. The ascendency of the NAP1 strain of CD, however, has changed the epidemiologic landscape of this disease, exposing new groups of patients to potential disease.

Neonates become colonized with CD shortly after birth, and high levels of detectable toxin are characteristically present in neonates without any associated clinical disease. Delayed expression of CD toxin receptors by neonatal colonic mucosa until one year of age has been postulated as the explanation, although this remains a topic of investigation. Nonetheless, prior to the epidemic of hyper-virulent CDI, disease in children was uncommon. With the advent of NAP1, however, from 2000 to 2006 the incidence of CDI in children aged 1-17 years more than doubled.7

While the elderly have always been at risk for CDI, in the NAP1 era both incidence and mortality rates in the elderly have escalated. In those over 85 years, mortality more than doubled from 2000 to 2005.8

A unique feature of the NAP1 epidemic is the occurrence of CDI in normal, previously healthy children and adults without exposure to antibiotics or to health care facilities. Acute community-acquired CDI in peripartum women is also now well-described, including cases requiring emergency colectomy.9 An association of CDI with the prior use of gastric acid suppressing agents has been postulated.10 Thus, NAP1 CDI has begun to resemble diarrheal disease caused by traditional food-borne pathogens.

NEW TRENDS IN LABORATORY DIAGNOSIS

As discussed, cultivation of CD in vitro is problematic, and not within the reach of most hospital laboratories. In addition, a positive culture may represent colonization with a strain that may or may not produce toxin.

One approach to screening stool samples is to test for glutamate dehydrogenase (GDH, or common antigen) by EIA (enzyme immunoassay). CD produces large amounts of GDH, and a stool specimen negative for GDH can be reported as negative for CD. The assay, however, detects both toxin-positive and toxin-negative organisms, and thus a stool positive for GDH must be accompanied by a separate assay for CD toxin production. This two-step approach has limited the test’s utility.

Direct toxin detection has become the most often utilized approach to the diagnosis of CDI. The gold standard traditionally has been the cell culture
cytotoxicity assay, wherein a monolayer of susceptible cells is exposed to a stool filtrate, with or without the addition of a monoclonal antibody. Inhibition of cytotoxic effects by specific anti-Toxin A and B antibodies establishes the presence of CD toxins. The assay is capable of detecting as little as 10 picograms of toxin, but it is time-consuming, expensive, and requires the materials and expertise of reference labs or research facilities. Furthermore, results take several days, and are thus not available in the real-time required for patient management decisions.

Toxin detection by EIA was the next step in the evolution of CDI diagnostics. Commercial EIA kits capable of detection of Toxin A and or Toxin B with a rapid turn-around time are available and cost effective, but the limit of detection of EIA is 100-1000 picograms of toxin, which is much higher than the cytotoxicity assay. Thus, the specificity of EIA assays is high (>95%), but the sensitivity is lacking (65-90%). Unfortunately, despite what is best described as medical folklore, repeated testing of stool samples by EIA does not increase the yield significantly, as demonstrated by multiple studies.

PCR (Polymerase Chain Reaction) detection of the gene responsible for CD Toxin B production has recently become commercially available. The assay can be performed directly on a liquid stool sample and has a rapid turn-around time. Both the sensitivity and specificity exceed 97%, and for the NAP1 strain of CD approach 100%. In one large study, repeat testing with PCR of a previously negative stool within two weeks yielded a new positive result in only 2.5% of 293 patients.13

In a comparative study of 185 stool specimens at LGH, EIA proved to be only 58% sensitive compared to PCR. Discrepant samples, those where the EIA and PCR results did not agree, were forwarded to a reference lab for toxigenic culture confirmation. The toxigenic culture results matched the PCR results in 13 of 14 specimens. This new PCR methodology has recently been implemented at Lancaster General Hospital as the default diagnostic assay for CDI.

AN ILLUSTRATIVE CASE

A 53 year-old previously healthy man was admitted to LGH with abdominal pain and diarrhea. He had not been previously hospitalized, and had no connection to health care. He had undergone a root canal two weeks prior to admission, after which he was prescribed one week of oral clindamycin. An Emergency Department CBC demonstrated a WBC of 18,200 cells per cubic milliliter. Clostridium difficile toxin B gene assay by PCR was positive. Serum creatinine was normal. Initial CT scan of the abdomen demonstrated colonic wall thickening localized to the sigmoid colon. He was started on oral metronidazole and released.

He returned the following day with worsening pain, a decrease in diarrhea, and increasing weakness. WBC was now 35,000 cells/mm3. Repeat CT scan now demonstrated inflammatory involvement of the entire colon without evidence of perforation. Within 12 hours from admission developed acute renal failure, lactic acidosis, and septic shock. His WBC reached 61,800 cells/mm3. He was taken to the operating room emergently for exploration and underwent a subtotal colectomy. Postoperative WBC reached 144,000 cells/mm3. Despite aggressive supportive measures, and a second exploratory laparotomy, the patient experienced continued multi-system organ deterioration and expired on the third hospital day.

EVIDENCE-BASED TREATMENT GUIDELINES

The Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) and the Society for Health Care Epidemiology (SHEA) have recently published evidence-based management guidelines.14 A brief overview follows (also see Table).

Initial Episode, Mild or Moderate Disease: This is defined as a hemodynamically stable patient with CDI and a peripheral WBC of < 15,000 and a serum creatinine of less than 1.5 times the premorbid level. For these patients, metronidazole 500 mg orally TID for 10-14 days is recommended.

Initial Episode, Severe Disease: Defined as a hemodynamically stable patient with a peripheral WBC > 15,000 or a creatinine greater than 1.5 times baseline. The recommended treatment is vancomycin 125 mg QID for 10-14 days.

Initial Episode, Severe, complicated: These are patients with fulminant colitis with hypotension, shock, ileus, or toxic megacolon. For such patients vancomycin 500mg QID by mouth or NG should be accompanied by metronidazole 500mg IV Q 8 Hrs. Vancomycin by rectal instillation may be considered in patients with ileus.

First Relapse: Treat as initial episode.

Second relapse: Vancomycin regimen in a tapered or pulsed regimen. Exact dosing schedules for treatment of the second (or subsequent) relapse remain to be elucidated by clinical studies. The rationale behind pulsed therapy is to treat with vancomycin on one day followed by several days off therapy, thus allowing...
residual CD spores to germinate and become therefore susceptible to antimicrobial therapy. Drugs such as cholestyramine, which bind and inactivate vancomycin, should be avoided during treatment of CDI.

**Evolving New Therapies**

Probiotics such as *Lactobacillus acidophilus* or *Saccharomyces boulardii* are not recommended by the IDSA/SHEA guidelines due to lack of clear benefit in clinical trials. Note that vancomycin is highly active against *Lactobacillus acidophilus* but not other Lactobacillus species. Also *S. boulardii* has been associated with airborne systemic infection and fungemia in compromised hosts.15

*Bacitracin* administered enterally can be effective in some cases of CDI. Specific dosing regimens are empirical.16

*Rifaximin* is a relatively expensive drug that is not FDA-approved for the treatment of CDI, although some activity has been demonstrated. Some practitioners use this agent at a dose of 400-800mg daily in divided doses for two weeks after completion of a vancomycin course. A small study suggests efficacy as salvage therapy in patients refractory to vancomycin and metronidazole.17 However, rifamycin resistance develops rapidly.

*Nitazoxanide* is a drug approved for the treatment of Cryptosporidiosis. In several small, randomized studies has shown efficacy comparable, but not superior to, vancomycin and metronidazole. It is awaiting FDA approval.

**Fidaxomicin** (OPT-80), recently approved by the FDA, is a new macrolide antimicrobial agent that has proven in a large clinical trial to be non-inferior for the treatment of CDI. Its potential advantage lies in a lower relapse rate than vancomycin.18

*Tigecycline* administered parenterally, has demonstrated impressive efficacy for severe, refractory CDI in anecdotal reports.19 Further research is warranted.

*Immunotherapy* has been suggested as a therapeutic intervention given the protective effect of anti-CD toxin antibodies outlined above. Infusion of IVIG (Intravenous Immunoglobulin) in severe cases of toxemic CDI has been studied both in small, uncontrolled case series and small studies. Results have been inconclusive. Many practitioners, however, utilize IVIG infusion in refractory cases of CDI or in those associated with septic shock. Monoclonal antibodies to CD toxins are being researched. In addition, work has begun on the development of a vaccine for CD toxins.

**Fecal Bacteriotherapy** is perhaps the most controversial of the emerging therapies for CDI. This approach involves the introduction of donor stool into the GI tract of a patient with CDI in an attempt to restore normal bacterial homeostasis. A success rate of 89% has been reported in a study of 100 patients who have failed antimicrobial therapy.20 Many issues of patient safely, screening, and liability associated with this non-FDA-approved approach limit its availability.

---

**Table 1. Recommendations for the Treatment of Clostridium difficile Infection (CDI)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical definition</th>
<th>Supportive clinical data</th>
<th>Recommended treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial episode, mild or moderate</td>
<td>Leukocytosis with a white blood cell count of 15,000 cells/µL or lower and a serum creatinine level less than 1.5 times the premorbid level</td>
<td>Metronidazole, 500 mg 3 times per day by mouth for 10-14 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial episode, severe*</td>
<td>Leukocytosis with a white blood cell count of 15,000 cells/µL or higher or a serum creatinine level greater than or equal to 1.5 times the premorbid level</td>
<td>Vancomycin, 125 mg 4 times per day by mouth for 10-14 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial episode, severe, complicated</td>
<td>Hypotension or shock, ileus, megacolon</td>
<td>Vancomycin, 500 mg 4 times per day by mouth or by nasogastric tube, plus metronidazole, 500 mg every 8 hours intravenously. If complete ileus, consider adding rectal instillation of vancomycin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First recurrence</td>
<td>· · ·</td>
<td>Same as for initial episode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second recurrence</td>
<td>· · ·</td>
<td>Vancomycin in a tapered and/or pulsed regimen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The criteria proposed for defining severe or complicated CDI are based on expert opinion. These may need to be reviewed in the future upon publication of prospectively validated severity scores for patients with CDI.
PREVENTION

Given the complexities described above, it would seem obvious that an ounce of prevention is indeed worth a pound of cure. Fortunately, some specific interventions have been shown to impact the spread of CDI. Antimicrobial stewardship efforts can be utilized to curtail the use of unnecessary antibiotics, and to restrict those that might be associated with disease outbreaks. Private rooms with full barrier precautions should be implemented for all patients with CDI. Hand hygiene with antimicrobial soap and water has been shown to be superior to alcohol-based foam in the management of CDI. In addition, Diluted (1:10) sodium hypochlorite bleach should be utilized for environmental decontamination, as the spores of CD remain impervious to standard cleaning measures.21

CONCLUSIONS

CDI has re-emerged as a more virulent and more challenging infection than ever before. While the battle has been aided by new diagnostic and therapeutic modalities, clinical acumen and the sound application of evidence-based guidelines for treatment and prevention remain paramount. Ultimately, a safe and effective vaccine may be the only way to quell this burgeoning epidemic.
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